	Case 1:23-cv-01632-KES-GSA Documen	nt 14 Filed 03/28/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEITH REAGAN CARTER-MADDOX,	No. 1:23-cv-01632 KES GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER DIRECTING RETURN OF FILING FEE TO PLAINTIFF
14 15	CALIFORNIA SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT AND FACILITY, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil	
18	rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. Plaintiff has also	
20 21	paid the filing fee in full. Findings and recommendations regarding the dismissal of this case for	
22	failure to exhaust are currently pending. <u>See</u> ECF No. 8. For the reasons stated below the Court	
23	will order that Plaintiff's filing fee be returned to him in its entirety.	
24	I. <u>RELEVANT FACTS</u>	
25	On November 13, 2023, Plaintiff filed the instant complaint and application to proceed in	
26	forma pauperis. See ECF No. 1 at 5 (signature date of complaint); ECF No. 2 at 2 (signature	
27 28	¹ The signing date of a pleading is the earliest possible filing date pursuant to the mailbox rule. See Roberts v. Marshall, 627 F.3d 768, 769 n.1 (9th Cir. 2010) (stating constructive filing date for prisoner giving pleading to prison authorities is date pleading is signed); Jenkins v. Johnson, 330	

date of in forma pauperis application). Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff's six-month prison trust fund account statement was filed. ECF No. 5. On November 30, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's in forma pauperis application. ECF No. 6. Despite this fact, however, on March 8, 2024, Plaintiff paid the filing fee in full. II. DISCUSSION Given that Plaintiff was granted in forma pauperis status back in November 2023, the filing fee that he recently paid in March 2024, in full, was not necessary. Therefore, the Court will order that the \$350.00 filing fee be returned to Plaintiff in its entirety. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court and the Financial Department shall work together to RETURN to Plaintiff in its entirety the \$350.00 filing fee he unnecessarily paid, the receipt of which was recorded on the Court's docket on March 8, 2024. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **March 27, 2024** /s/ Garv S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE F.3d 1146, 1149 n.2 (9th Cir. 2003), overruled on other grounds by Pace v. DiGuglielmo, 544 U.S. 408 (2005).